



Docket No.: 4266-0139PUS1

Confirmation No.: 8806

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Jordi TORMO I BLASCO et al.

Application No.: 10/582,934

Filed: June 15, 2006 Art Unit: 1616

For: 6-(2-CHLORO-4-

ALKOXYPHENYL)TRIAZOLOPYRIMIDINES, THEIR PREPARATION AND THEIR USE FOR CONTROLLING HARMFUL FUNGI, AND COMPOSITIONS COMPRISING THESE

COMPOUNDS

LETTER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Subsequent to the filing of the above-identified application on June 15, 2006, attached hereto is an English Translation of the International Preliminary Report on Patentability issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority. Please make this document of record for the above-identified application.

Application No.: 10/582,934 Docket No.: 4266-0139PUS1

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: February 22, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By_

Andrew B. Meikle

Registration No.: 32,868

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

2

Attorney for Applicant

Attachment

TRANSLATION PATENT COOPERATION TREATY POTT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 0000055194			URTHER ACTION	Sec Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No. PCT/EP2004/014274			onal filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17.12.2003				
C07D48	7/04 A01	n (IIC) or national classi N43/90 ELLSCHAFT	fication and IPC					
unde	r Article 35 and tra	insmitted to the applican	mination report, established by this according to Article 36. sheets, includi	s International Preliminary Examining Authority				
_		mpanied by ANNEXES.	comprising:					
a. 🗠	a. (sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of 5 sheets, as follows: sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative							
	Instructions). sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. 1 and the Supplemental Box.							
ь. [(sent to the	International Bureau oni	y) a total of (indicate type and numb	per of electronic carrier(s))				
		o, in computer readable to the Administrative Instr		. containing a sequence listing and/or tables lemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see				
4. This	report contains ind	ications relating to the fo	llowing items:					
\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the report						
	Box No. II	Priority						
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of o	ppinion with regard to novelty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability				
\boxtimes	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invent	ion					
\bowtie	Box No. V		der Article 35(2) with regard to nov ons supporting such statement	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability:				
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cite	đ					
\boxtimes	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the i	nternational application					
\boxtimes	Box No. VIII	Certain observations of	the international application					
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of the	his report					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP			Authorized officer					
Facsimile No.			Telephone No.					

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/014274

Bo	x No.	1 Basis of the report	
1.	Wit indi	th regard to the language, this report is based on the internaticated under this item.	ational application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise
		This report is based on translations from the original language of a translation furnished for the p	guage into the following language urposes of:
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))	
		publication of the international application (Rule 1	2.4)
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 a	
2.	rece	ctving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 : report); -	his report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to
	\mathbb{A}	the international application as originally filed/furnished	
	الحكا	the description:	
		pages 1-42	as originally filed/furnished
		page s*	
		page s#	received by this Authority on
	\bowtie	the claims:	
		nos.	as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*	as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
		nos.* 1-12	o7.12.2005 with letter of 06.12.2005
		nos.*	received by this Authority on
		the drawings:	
		sheets	as originally filed/furnished
		sheets*	received by this Authority on
		sheets*	received by this Authority on
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supple	
3.	\Box	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
•	_		
		the description, pages the claims, nos.	
	_		
4.		they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as	inducents annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule $70.2(c)$).
		the description, pages	
		the claims, nos.	
		the sequence listing (specify):	
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
*	lf iter	m 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "su	perseded."

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/014274

Box N	No. IV Lack of unity of invention	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2.	In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has: restricted the claims. paid additional fees. paid additional fees under protest. neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with an	
	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules	
L	complied with.	
L.	not complied with for the following reasons:	
4. C	Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the internation	anal analications
\triangleright	all parts.	лы арупсанон:
	the parts relating to claims Nos.	

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/014274

Во	x No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
1.	Statement	1	
	Novel	ry(N) Claims 8, 11	YES
		Claims 1-7, 9-10, 12	NO
	Invent	tive step (IS) Claims	YES
		Claims 1-12	NO
	Indust	rial applicability (IA) Claims $1-12$	
		Claims	
	Citati		
2.		and explanations (Rule 70.7)	
	1	Reference is made to the following documents:	
		D1: WO 03/091254 A, 6 November 2003	
		D2: WO 99/48893 A, 30 September 1999; cited in	
		the application	
		D3: WO 02/38565 A, 16 May 2002	
		D4: US-B1-6 204 269, 20 March 2001	
		D5: WO 02/083677 A, 24 October 2002	
		D6: WO 03/008417 A, 30 January 2003.	
	2	Novelty	
	2.1	Claims 1-7, 9-10 and 12 lack novelty in the light	
		of D1 and/or D2 .	
	2.2	D1 concerns triazolopyrimidines for combating	
	•	unwanted micro-organisms such as fungi in the	
		field of plant protection (see, e.g., pages 13-17,	
		and page 30, lines 13-21), and a method for the	
		production thereof. The compounds from D1 overlap	
		with the current compounds (I) and (I.2) in	
		claims 1 and 5 when R^1 and R^2 in formula (I) and D	
		in formula (I.2) form, together with the nitrogen	

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/014274

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

atom, a five- or six-membered heterocycyl or heteroaryl, which contains one to three additional heteroatoms from the group O, N and S, and when the group X is halogen and chlorine, respectively. In addition, D1 already discloses specific compounds (I)/(I.2) in the overlapping range (pages 35 and 36, examples 4 and 19) and, as a result of the production method (claim 2, and page 34, last paragraph), the corresponding 5,7-dihalogen intermediates of the current formula (V). Claims 1-3, 5, 9, 10 and 12 therefore lack novelty with respect to the entire range of overlap with D1.

D2 describes plant fungicides, the production thereof, the corresponding fungicidal agents (claim 10) and a method for combating phytopathogenic fungi (claim 11; page 12, lines 20-22; page 17, lines 10 and 11). The compounds from **D2** are 5-halogen-6-phenyltriazolopyrimidines which are OR -substituted in the phenyl-4'-position and in the phenyl-2'position bear a fluorine or chlorine atom (L^2) and ' in the phenyl-6'-position bear a hydrogen, fluorine or chlorine atom (L^1) (see claim 1). These overlap substantially with the current compounds (I) to (I.3) when L (corresponding to L^1 in **D2**) is hydrogen or chlorine and X is halogen and/or chlorine. The compounds from D2 are produced as per the method according to the current claim 7 via the 5,7-dihydroxy- and 5,7dihalogen-intermediates (IV) and (V) (D2, pages 10

PCT/EP2004/014274

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

and 11, intermediates II and VII). Claims 1-7, 9-10 and 12 of the application therefore lack novelty with respect to the entire range of overlap with D2. In this regard it should be noted that the teaching of D2 is not restricted to merely the 2'-fluorine-substituted examples, but also covers the corresponding 2'-chlorinesubstituted compounds. In addition, the range of the current claims which overlaps with D2 does not satisfy the criteria for a novel selection, since the selected range is based merely on the onedimensional selection of chlorine from the list \mathtt{L}^{2} of D2, which comprises two values, the selected range being neither narrow in relation to D2 nor sufficiently removed from the range illustrated by the examples in D2.

The statements relating to **D1** and **D2** made by the applicant in the letter of response are therefore untenable.

partly cover the current compounds (I) and (I.1).

D3 does not, however, specifically disclose
compounds that bear a chlorine atom in the phenyl2' position, an OR' group in the phenyl-4' position
and a hydrogen, chlorine or bromium atom in the
6' position. The subject matter of the
application can therefore be considered a novel
selection from the teaching of D3, with the
specific substitution pattern on the phenyl ring
being the novel technical feature.

PCT/EP2004/014274

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

D4 concerns similar triazolopyrimidines which already partly cover the current compounds (I) and (I.3). Like D3, D4 also does not show any compounds with the specific substitution pattern of the current claims on the phenyl ring, and therefore the subject matter of the application can be considered a novel selection from the teaching of D4.

D5 and D6 disclose further fungicidal triazolopyrimidines which in the 5 position may be substituted, respectively, with cyano, alkoxy and haloalkoxy (D5) and with halogen, cyano, alkyl, alkoxy and haloalkoxy (D6). The current compounds differ, however, therefrom by virtue of the phenyl substituents. D5 and D6 are therefore not relevant to the question of novelty.

3 Inventive step and unity of invention

Insofar as the application concerns novel compounds (I) as shown in the application examples, the following observations apply in respect of inventive step.

3.1 The application describes the production of a small number of compounds (I) which bear a chlorine substituent in the 5(X) position and always a hydrogen atom in the phenyl-6'(L) position (page 41 of the application). Such compounds (I) are effective against certain

the current (L) hydrogen atom.

Box No. V

International application No. PCT/EP2004/014274

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement pathogenic fungi (pages 39-42 of the application) and, according to additional information provided by the applicant, appear to have a stronger fungicidal effect than the compounds from ${\bf D2}$ with an (L1) fluorine-substituent, which corresponds to

- 3.2 The (L) hydrogen compounds (I) shown in the application examples can be considered a novel selection from the compounds as per D2. Proceeding from ${\bf D2}$ as the closest prior art, the application, in respect of the examples therein, is considered to address the technical problem of selecting certain plant-fungicidal triazolopyrimidines from D2. Since a stronger fungicidal effect in the current (L)hydrogen compounds (I) in relation to the (L1)fluorine compounds from D2 does not appear obvious in the light of the cited documents, such compounds could be considered to involve an inventive step.
- 3.3 However, such a surprising effect must apply to essentially all the claimed compounds in order to justify acknowledgement of an inventive step for the entire scope claimed. Currently, however, it is not plausible that the same surprising effect results from the use of the (L)chlorine and (L) bromium compounds (I) in relation to the (L') fluorine compounds from D2.
- 3.4 In addition, it should be noted with respect to the current set of claims that the claimed

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/014274

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

compounds must be characterised in relation to the prior art compounds by a common distinguishing feature in order to satisfy the requirement for unity of invention. No such common distinguishing feature, however, can currently be found. application therefore fails to meet the requirement for unity of invention. light of documents D1 to D3, the current set of claims can be divided into different groups of invention. Nevertheless, given the lack of novelty in the set of claims, it does not seem necessary to make a detailed division at this Provisionally, however, it is pointed out that in light of D2, compounds (I) in which X =halogen and L = hydrogen; compounds (I) in which X = halogen and L = chlorine; and compounds (I) in which X = halogen and L = bromium belong to three different inventions, it being possible, in light of D1, to divide the second group of compounds (I), in which X = halogen and L = chlorine, into additional groups.

Surprisingly, the applicant did not take up any position in the correspondence with respect to unity of invention. It is assumed that they would like to return to this point in the regional phase.

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/014274

Box No. VII

Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

The description does not indicate documents **D1** and **D3** to **D6** or specify the prior art disclosed therein (PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii)). In addition, the passage relating to **D2** on page 3 of the description is inappropriate and misleading, since the compounds disclosed in **D2** do not have to be substituted by at least one fluorine atom in the ortho position of the phenyl ring.

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/014274

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 1 and 4-6 are drafted as separate independent claims, although the compounds as per claims 4-6 appear to be covered by the scope of claim 1. The current set of claims therefore appears not to meet the criterion for conciseness required by PCT Article 6.

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: $Boxes\ 1$ and 1V

Box I

Basis of the report

The applicant submitted an amended set of claims with the letter of 6 December 2005, in which the meaning of halogenalkyl for R³ in claim 1 was deleted. The amended set of claims satisfies PCT Articles 19(2) and 34(2)(b). In addition, the applicant referred in the letter to further amendments which, however, do not appear in the clean copy of the amended set of claims. Those possibly intended amendments are not taken into consideration in this report.

The application relates to:

- (i) 6-(2-cloro-4-alkoxyphenyl)triazolopyrimidinesof formula (I) (claims 1-3);
- (ii) 6-(2-cloro-4-alkoxyphenyl)triazolopyrimidines
 of formula (I.1) (claim 4);
- (iv) 6-(2-cloro-4-alkoxyphenyl)triazolopyrimidines
 of formula (I.3) (claim 6);
- (v) method for producing compounds (I) (claims 7
 and 8);
- (vi) intermediates of formulae (IV), (IVa), (V)
 and (Va) (claim 9);
- (vii) fungicidal agents that contain compounds (I)

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/014274

Supplemental Box

(claim 10);

- (viii) seeds that contain compounds (I) (claim 11); and
- (ix) method for combating phytopathogenic fungi using compounds (I) (claim 12).

Box IV

Lack of unity of invention

See Box V.2, item 3.4.